

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/966,678	09/28/2001	John R. Fredlund	82616SLP	5488	
. 7:	590 11/23/2005		EXAM	INER	
Thomas H. Close			SCHUBERT, KEVIN R		
Patent Legal St	aff .		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			2137		
Rochester, NY	14650-2201				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/966,678	FREDLUND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kevin Schubert	2137		

	Kevin Schubert	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply i	affidavit, or other evider a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	D). ONLY CHECK BOX (D) WHEN T D6.07(f).	HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amous hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS  2. Martin and the state of the		<i>.</i>	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a)  They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between the content of the c	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-43.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-4-5.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence is	necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.  Other:		Q. This	
		MMANUEL L. MOISE ISORY PATENT EXAMIN	ER

Application No. 09/966,678

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended independent claims 1,8,12,16,21,27,30,33,35, and 40-42 with new limitations which require further search and/or consideration.